

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,004		11/28/2001	Hiroshi Yamada	FUJI 19.210	6947	
26304	7590	04/11/2006	,	EXAMINER		
		HIN ROSENMAN	HARRELL, ROBERT B			
575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·			2142		
				DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			04	YAMADA, HIROS	SHI					
			r	Art Unit						
		Robert B	Harrell	2142						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the material part of the set of	DATE OF T 1.136(a). In no even od will apply and v lute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATIO yent, however, may a reply be tin vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	January 200	16							
2a)□										
3)	, <del></del>									
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims	, .	, ,							
,	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
·	· / ——									
7)	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.									
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
	· ,	i/or election	equirement.							
Applicati	on Papers									
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.								
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 09 May 2005 is/are:	a)⊠ accepte	ed or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is requi	red if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	98)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other: see attached	late Patent Application (PT	<sup>-</sup> O-152)					

Art Unit: 2142

- 1. Claims 1-11 remain for examination.
- 2. The applicant should use this period for response to thoroughly and very closely proof read and review the whole of the application for correct correlation between reference numerals in the textual portion of the Specification and Drawings along with any minor spelling errors, general typographical errors, accuracy, assurance of proper use for Trademarks <sup>TM</sup>, and other legal symbols ®, where required, and clarity of meaning in the Specification, Drawings, and specifically the claims (i.e., provide proper antecedent basis for "the" and "said" within each claim). Minor typographical errors could render a Patent unenforceable and so the applicant is strongly encouraged to aid in this endeavor.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. <u>Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph</u>, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. The scope of meaning of the following claim language is not clear:
- a) "the switching unit"--claim 4 [\*note claim 1 (line 3)\*], claim 10 (line 1), and claim 11 (line 1) [\*note claim 8 (lines 1 and 3)\*].
- 5. As to 4 (a) above, these are but a few examples of numerous cases where clear antecedent basis are lacking and not an exhausting recital. Any other term(s) or phrase(s) over looked by examiner and not listed above which start with either "the" or "said" and do not have a single proper antecedent basis also is indefinite for the reasons outlined in this paragraph. Also, these are but a few examples where term(s) or phrase(s) are introduced more than once without adequate use of either "the" or "said" for the subsequent use of the term(s) or phrase(s). Moreover, multiple introduction of a term, or changes in tense, results in a lack of clear antecedent basis for term(s) or phrase(s) which relied upon the introduced term. Failure to correct all existing cases where clear antecedent basis are lacking can be viewed as non-responsive. Nonetheless, should a response yield all claims allowable short a few cases where clear antecedent basis are lacking within the claims, a preemptive authorization to enter an examiner's amendment to the record to correct such would accelerate a notice of allowance over a final rejection. Such could be added at the end of an applicant's response with the following statement: "Examiner is hereby authorized, without the need of further contact by examiner, to enter an Examiner's Amendment to correct any cases where antecedent basis are lacking." if the applicant so elects. This does not diminish the applicant's requirement to correct all such cases not so listed in the example few given above nor prohibit any amendments after a notice of allowance by the applicant.

Art Unit: 2142

- 6. Per claim 1 (line 3) and claim 8 (line 3), "associated with" fails to clear define the boundary of the defined invention. Specifically, it cannot be clearly ascertained if the "associated switching unit" is associated with the connection data change device, the connection data management part, an external switching unit or some other element.
- 7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this action:

## A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for the purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language;
- 8. <u>Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e)</u> as being anticipated by Chiu et al. (United States Patent Number: US 6,597,689 B1).
- 9. Prior to addressing the grounds of the rejections below, should this application ever be the subject of public review by third parties not so versed with the technology (i.e., access IFW through Public **PAIR** found to (as on http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair)), this Office action will usually refer an applicant's attention to relevant and helpful elements, figures, and/or text upon which the Office action relies to support the position taken. Thus, the following citations are neither all-inclusive nor all-exclusive in nature as the whole of the reference is cited and relied upon in this action as part of the substantial evidence of record. Also, no temporal order was claimed for the acts and/or functions.
- 10. Per claim 1, Chiu taught a connection data change device (e.g., see figure 2) comprising:
- a) a connection data management part (e.g., see figure 16 (NMS functionality 600)) configured to manage connection data for connecting an associated switching unit (i.e., DSL in figure 2 and/or ATM 133 in figure 2) with an external switching unit (e.g., 101 (IMAS) in figure 2, figure 16), and col. 19 (lines 24-37)); and
- b) a change operation part configured (e.g., see figure 16 (604) and/or figure 18 (465) with figure 18 (452)) to change the connection data so that the connection with the external switching unit is changed to a fixed connection type (e.g., "PVC" per col. 3 (lines 36-65)) or a variable connection type (e.g., "SVC" per col. 3 (line 66-et seq.));
- c) wherein said change operation part changes the connection to the external switching unit from the variable connection type (SVC) to the fixed connection type (PVC) upon

Art Unit: 2142

receiving a request to change the connection to the external switching unit (e.g., see col. 18 (line 15-et seq.)).

- 11. Per claim 2, claim 3, claim 4, claim 5, claim 6, and claim 7, commands originate from the outside per figure 16 (601 and 605) in the form of messages col. 8 (lines 42-44) to change the external switch from a variable SVC connection type to a fixed PVC connection type, as released from a fixed connection type to a variable connection type, after the state of the external switch is derived from an analysis of the external switch per col. 59 (line 50-et seq.) and col. 77 (line 29- et seq.)).
- 12. Per claim 8, claim 9, claim 10, and claim 11, these claims do not teach or defined above the correspondingly rejected claims given above, and are thus rejected for the same reasons given above.
- 13. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the data of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Harrell whose telephone number is (571) 272-3895. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and on weekends from 6:00 am to 12 noon Eastern Standard Time.
- 15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew T. Caldwell, can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for all papers is (703) 872-9306.
- 16. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

ROBERT B. HÄRRELL PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2142